

Evidence-Based Math Instruction for Special Education

Strategies for Conceptual Understanding and Procedural Fluency

Introduction

Students with disabilities often face unique challenges in mathematics, including difficulties with working memory, abstract reasoning, and multi-step processing. Traditional "rote" memorization often fails these learners because it lacks a foundational "why." Effective math instruction in special education requires an evidence-based approach that moves from the concrete to the abstract, providing students with the scaffolds necessary to build true numerical literacy. This resource focuses on high-leverage practices that bridge the gap between simple calculation and functional problem-solving.

Purpose

The purpose of this resource is to provide special education teachers with a framework for delivering high-quality, accessible math instruction. By utilizing the Concrete-Representational-Abstract (CRA) sequence and Schema-Based Instruction (SBI), teachers can help students move beyond "number-crunching" toward a deeper understanding of mathematical concepts. This guide emphasizes the use of assistive technology and universal design to ensure that every student can access grade-level standards.

Section I: Foundational Framework

The CRA Sequence: A Three-Tiered Approach

CRA is not just a set of tools; it is a mental bridge. Research shows that jumping straight to numbers (Abstract) before a student understands the physical reality (Concrete) leads to "math anxiety" and disconnected learning.

1. **Concrete (The Doing Stage):** Students use physical manipulatives (counting bears, base-ten blocks, algebra tiles, or even real-world objects like snacks or coins). This allows them to physically "feel" the math.
2. **Representational (The Seeing Stage):** Students use semi-concrete visuals. Instead of holding a block, they draw a tally mark, a dot, or a picture. This transitions the brain away from physical objects toward mental imagery.
3. **Abstract (The Symbolic Stage):** Students use only numbers and mathematical symbols (+, -, x, ÷, =). Because they have "done" and "seen" the math, these symbols now hold meaning.

Schema-Based Instruction (SBI): Solving the "Word Problem Wall"

Many students fail word problems because they try to find "keywords" (like "altogether" or "left"). Keywords can be misleading. SBI teaches students to identify the Structure of the story:

- Total/Group Schemas: Combining different parts into a whole.
- Difference/Compare Schemas: Finding the gap between a larger and smaller amount.
- Change Schemas: Starting with an amount and having it increase or decrease over time.

The Path to Mathematical Literacy

Math instruction for students with special needs must be intentional, explicit, and highly visual. To move students toward independence, instruction should follow a logical progression of "scaffolded complexity."

- Conceptual Understanding (The "Why"): Students must understand the concept behind the math before they can master the procedure.
- The CRA Sequence: This research-validated instructional strategy follows the stages of representation. It begins with physical objects (Concrete), moves to drawings or pictures (Representational), and concludes with numbers and symbols (Abstract).
- Schema-Based Instruction (SBI): SBI is an evidence-based practice that teaches students to identify the "underlying structure" of a word problem (e.g., is this a "Total" problem or a "Difference" problem?) rather than just looking for keywords.
- Assistive Technology in Math: Tools like talking calculators, virtual manipulatives, and digital graph paper can remove physical and cognitive barriers to mathematical expression.

Key Considerations for Math Instruction

- Explicit Instruction: Use the "I Do, We Do, You Do" model to provide clear, step-by-step demonstrations.
 - Limit Cognitive Load: Break complex, multi-step problems into smaller, manageable chunks.
 - Provide Immediate Feedback: Correct misconceptions in real-time to prevent students from "practicing" errors.
-

Section II: Evidence-Based Math Strategies

The following table categorizes core areas where explicit instruction and collaborative support ensure student success in mathematics.

Strategy Area	Special Educator Strategy	Student Strategy
CRA Progression	Models a concept using physical manipulatives (e.g., base-ten blocks) before introducing abstract symbols.	Uses manipulatives or drawings to justify their answer when working with abstract numbers.
Schema Mapping	Teaches students to use graphic organizers to categorize "types" of word problems (Change, Compare, Group).	Identifies the "schema" of a problem and uses the corresponding visual map to organize data.
Mathematical Discourse	Encourages "think alouds" by providing sentence starters (e.g., "I know this is addition because...") to verbalize logic.	Explains the reasoning behind each step of a problem rather than just providing the final answer.
Fluency Building	Develops daily "Number Talks" or timed practice sessions to build automaticity with basic facts.	Participates in daily drills to increase calculation speed, freeing up brainpower for complex tasks.
Accommodations	Determines when to provide a calculator or a formula sheet to bypass procedural barriers.	Advocates for and utilizes the appropriate assistive technology (AT) tools to complete grade-level tasks.
Data Collection	Creates efficient progress monitoring tools to track accuracy on specific math IEP goals.	Records student performance data accurately during independent practice to inform next steps.

Section III: Applying Math Instruction in Practice

The 4-Step Instructional Routine

Teachers should follow this consistent cycle when introducing any new mathematical concept to ensure students bridge the gap between concrete and abstract.

1. Explicit Modeling (I Do): The teacher uses "Think Alouds" to verbalize the identification of a schema.
 - *Example:* "I see two different amounts being compared, so I'm going to use my Compare Schema map."
2. Guided Practice (We Do): The teacher and student co-create a representational drawing.
 - *Example:* "Let's draw a bar for the 10 apples and a smaller bar for the 4 apples. What is the gap between them?"
3. Collaborative Scaffolding (We Do): The student uses a graphic organizer while the teacher or paraprofessional provides "just-in-time" feedback, checking for errors before they become habits.
4. Independent Application (You Do): The student solves a similar problem using only the abstract symbols, but has the graphic organizer available as a "safety net."

The STAR Strategy: A Student Self-Regulation Tool

Beefing up the student's independence is key to preventing burnout for the teacher. Teach students this mnemonic to handle any math problem:

- S – Search the word problem: Read the problem carefully and look for the "action" (Is something changing? Are we comparing?).
- T – Translate the words into an equation or picture: Use a schema map or a representational drawing.
- A – Answer the problem: Perform the calculation using the chosen strategy or tool (e.g., calculator, number line).
- R – Review the solution: Does the answer make sense? "If I had 10 apples and gave some away, is 14 a reasonable answer?"

Case Scenarios for Professional Reflection

Case Scenario 1: The Multiplication Struggle

Student: A 4th-grader who cannot memorize multiplication facts and becomes frustrated during "timed tests."

Instructional Response:

- **Concrete:** Use an "array" of physical tiles (3 rows of 4).
- **Representational:** Draw an array of dots or use a 100s-chart for skip counting.
- **Abstract:** Use a multiplication table as a "temporary scaffold" so the student can access 4th-grade multi-digit multiplication while still working on fact fluency.

Case Scenario 2: Fractions and "Part-Whole" Confusion

Student: A 6th-grader who thinks $\frac{1}{4}$ is larger than $\frac{1}{2}$ because 4 is a larger number than 2.

Instructional Response:

- **Concrete:** Use "Fraction Tiles" to physically lay $\frac{1}{4}$ over $\frac{1}{2}$ to show the size difference.
- **Representational:** Use an "Area Model" drawing (shading in circles) to visually compare.
- **Abstract:** Teach the numerical rules only after the student can explain *why* $\frac{1}{2}$ is more.

Case Scenario 3: Real-World Functional Math

Student: A high school student in a life-skills program struggling with making change at a store.

Instructional Response:

- **Schema:** Identify this as a Change Schema (Start amount - Cost = Change).
- **Strategy:** Use "Touch-Point" math or the "Next Dollar Up" strategy. Use a visual "Money Map" to help the student organize their thinking at the register.

Data-Driven Decision Making (Instructional Adjustment)

Use this guide to know when to move between stages:

- Moving from Concrete to Representational: When the student can build the model 3 times in a row without adult prompting.
 - Moving from Representational to Abstract: When the student can accurately "draw and explain" the logic behind the math.
 - Fading Scaffolds: When the student reaches 80% accuracy over 3 consecutive days using a specific tool (like a multiplication chart), begin to hide the tool for every other problem.
-

Section IV: Common Pitfalls & Solutions

- Pitfall: The "Keyword" Trap. Teaching students that "in all" always means addition.
 - Solution: Focus on the *action* of the problem. Ask: "Is the total getting bigger or smaller?"
 - Pitfall: Moving to Abstract Too Soon. Students may "mimic" the teacher's steps without understanding.
 - Solution: The "Draw It" Rule. Before a student is allowed to use just numbers, they must be able to draw a representational model of the problem.
 - Pitfall: Over-Scaffolding. Never removing the manipulatives.
 - Solution: Systematic Fading. Move from 3D objects to 2D drawings to "mental drawings" over a set period.
-

Math Instruction Reflection Worksheet

Teacher: _____ | Date: _____ | Concept: _____

1. Instructional Goal: What specific math skill/standard are you targeting?

2. CRA Level Selection: Which stage will you start with? (Concrete, Representational, or Abstract?)

3. Graphic Organizer Plan: Which visual map (e.g., Part-Part-Whole, Change Map) will the student use?

4. Error Analysis: What is a common mistake students make with this concept, and how will you address it?

5. Accommodations: List the AT tools (calculators, number lines, etc.) that will be available.

References & Further Reading

- National Center on Intensive Intervention (NCII): *Mathematics Instructional Exemplars*.
- Jitendra, A. K. (2007): *Solving Math Word Problems: Teaching Students with Learning Disabilities using Schema-Based Instruction*.
- Council for Exceptional Children (CEC): *High-Leverage Practices in Special Education (HLP #16)*.